

## LETTERS TO THE EDITORS

## The Hydrogenolysis of Alkanes on Metallic Molybdenum on Alumina

$\text{Mo(CO)}_6$  on dehydroxylated alumina heated in flowing helium to between 300 and 500°C and then in flowing hydrogen at 650°C ( $(\text{MoCO})_6/\text{DA}; \text{He}, 300\text{--}500^\circ\text{C}; \text{H}_2, 650^\circ\text{C}$ ) consists of ca. 3- to 4-nm particles of metallic molybdenum on  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  which still contains about 0.01 C per Mo (1, 2). Further heating in  $\text{H}_2$  to 950°C removes the residual carbon but results in no detectable change in catalytic activities and selectivities.  $\text{MoO}_3/\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3; \text{H}_2, 950^\circ$ , produces what is essentially the same material (1, 2). At 500°C,  $\text{MoO}_3/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  with less than one monolayer loading cannot be reduced below  $\text{Mo(IV)}/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ , but at 600°C some  $\text{Mo(III)}$  appears to be formed (3). Neither reduction at temperatures greater than about 600°C nor studies of catalytic activity of  $\text{MoO}_3/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  reduced below  $\text{Mo(IV)}$  appear to have been reported before Ref. (2). Both the  $\text{Mo(0)}/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  catalyst prepared from  $\text{Mo(CO)}_6/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  and that from  $\text{MoO}_3/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  were essentially the same in the amount of oxygen consumed in titration at 500°C ( $\text{O}_2/\text{Mo} = 3$ ), in susceptibility to poisons, in behavior on regeneration, in catalytic activity for the hydrogenolyses of propane and cyclopropane, and in that for the hydrogenation of propylene (1, 2, 4). In particular, both catalysts have the same high activity for the hydrogenolysis of propane at 250°C and the same selectivities for single and double hydrogenolysis (1, 2). A number of other alkanes were studied on  $\text{Mo(0)}/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  prepared by  $\text{Mo(CO)}_6/\text{DA}; \text{He}, 300\text{--}500^\circ\text{C}; \text{H}_2, 650\text{--}950^\circ\text{C}$  (5). After Ru, these  $\text{Mo(0)}/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  catalysts are among the most active hydrogenolysis catalysts so far reported. The rate of any skeletal isomerization must have been less than 0.02 that of hydrogenolysis (5). The results given

above have been confirmed in more recent work (6). In Refs. (1, 2, 4–6), the  $\text{O}_2$  contents of the mixtures flowing over the catalyst were measured at the reactor and maintained below 0.03 ppm. Metallic Mo itself is clearly active for hydrogenolysis although perhaps its surface becomes converted to carbide during reaction but with little loss of hydrogenolytic activity.

Holl *et al.* (6–8) have recently employed XPS to study the reduction of  $\text{MoO}_3/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  by  $\text{H}_2, 950^\circ\text{C}$ . They concluded that the  $\text{Mo(VI)}$  was reduced nearly to the state of metallic molybdenum (7). They studied the reaction of several alkanes in hydrogen at 350°C on a commercial molybdenum powder pretreated  $\text{H}_2, 500^\circ\text{C}, 15\text{ h}$  (8), and on a  $\text{MoO}_3/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  pretreated  $\text{H}_2, 950^\circ\text{C}$  (9). At 350°C, both materials exhibited a weak activity for skeletal isomerization (about 0.001 that of Pt) and a much lower activity for hydrogenolysis. In one case in a flow reactor, a sample of 4 wt% Mo catalyst with  $\sim 80\text{ }\mu\text{mol}$  of Mo, 350°C, and a flow rate of  $10\text{ cm}^3\text{ min}^{-1}$  gave 2.4% conversion of 2-methylpentane (mostly bond-shift isomerization), whereas, in Ref. (1), a 7.4 wt% Mo catalyst containing 2  $\mu\text{mol}$  of Mo, 249°C, and  $74\text{ cm}^3\text{ min}^{-1}$  gave 6% hydrogenolysis of propane. There was some difference in the ratio, alkane/ $\text{H}_2$ , which was 0.0067 in Ref. (9) and 0.038 in Ref. (1).

Unfortunately Holl *et al.* did not comment upon the drastic difference in hydrogenolytic activity noted above since they had not come upon Refs. (1, 2, 4) and stated that "Up to now, no one has studied the high-temperature reduction (between 550 and 950°C) of alumina-supported  $\text{MoO}_3$  catalysts" (7).

Holl *et al.* found that evaporated Mo film

was a hydrogenolysis catalyst unlike Mo powder, but they decided that it was Mo powder;  $\text{H}_2$ , 550°C, 15 h, that was characteristic of Mo metal, in part, because injection of pulses of  $\text{O}_2$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  at 350°C into the reactant flow or further heating of the Mo powder to 950°C had little effect upon the catalytic character of the catalyst (8). They concluded that the  $\text{O}_2$  adsorbed from injections was removed by  $\text{H}_2$ , 350°C, and that the  $\text{H}_2$ , 550°C treatment produced a clean surface of Mo. The contrary assumption that the Mo powder and the  $\text{Mo}/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  of Holl *et al.* were contaminated in all of the situations just described, probably by oxygen adsorbed from the reactant stream, is equally compatible with the data. Oxygen is extracted from  $\text{H}_2$  by  $\text{Mo}(0)/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  at 300°C and not removed from Mo metal by  $\text{H}_2$  until temperatures much above 550°C (see Fig. 2 of Ref. (1)). Since hydrogenolysis by  $\text{Mo}(0)/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  is extremely sensitive to poisoning by  $\text{O}_2$ ,  $\text{CO}$ ,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , and even to some extent by  $\text{N}_2$  (1), we suggest that surface contamination resulting from oxygen in the reactant streams of Holl *et al.* led to drastic poisoning of hydrogenolysis. The suggestion must be tentative since Holl *et al.* did not describe purification procedures for hydrocarbons or gases, nor did they report measurement of the  $\text{O}_2$  content of the reactant mixture at the catalyst.

## REFERENCES

1. Nakamura, R., Pioch, D., Bowman, R. G., and Burwell, R. L., Jr., *J. Catal.* **93**, 338 (1985).
2. Nakamura, R., Bowman, R. G., and Burwell, R. L., Jr., *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* **103**, 673 (1981).
3. Hall, W. K., and Lo Jacono, M., "Proceedings, 6th International Congress on Catalysis, London, 1976" (G. C. Bond, P. B. Wells, and F. C. Tomkins, Eds.), p. 246. The Chemical Society, London, 1976.
4. Burwell, R. L., Jr., in "Catalysis on the Energy Scene" (S. Kaliaguine and A. Mahay, Eds.), p. 45. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1984.
5. Nakamura, R., and Burwell, R. L., Jr., *J. Catal.* **93**, 399 (1985).
6. Burwell, R. L., Jr., and Chung, J. S., *React. Kinet. Catal. Lett.* **35**, 381 (1987).
7. Holl, Y., Tournoude, R., Maire, G., Muller, A., Engelhard, P. A., and Grosmangin, J., *J. Catal.* **104**, 202 (1987).
8. Holl, Y., Garin, F., Maire, G., Muller, A., Engelhard, P. A., and Grosmangin, J., *J. Catal.* **104**, 211 (1987).
9. Holl, Y., Garin, F., Maire, G., Muller, A., Engelhard, P. A., and Grosmangin, J., *J. Catal.* **104**, 225 (1987).

ROBERT L. BURWELL, JR.

*Ipatieff Laboratory  
Department of Chemistry  
Northwestern University  
Evanston, Illinois 60201*

*Received March 21, 1988*